1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)	
2	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	
3	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)	Teuta Fani (admitted pro hac vice)	
	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	teutafani@quinnemanuel.com	
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	
	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Chicago, IL 60606	
5	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Telephone: (312) 705-7400	
6	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	
7	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com	Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	
8	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)	
9	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
10	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	
11	alyolson@quinnemanuel.com	Washington D.C., 20005	
12	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Telephone: (202) 538-8000	
	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100	
13	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100		
14	Jomaire Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	
15	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor	
16	New York, NY 10010	San Francisco, CA 94111	
17	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
1/	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
18	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC		
19			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION		
21	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK	
22	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	DECLARATION OF JONATHAN TSE IN	
23	individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'	
24	•	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER	
_	Plaintiffs,	PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE	
25		SEALED	
26	V.	SEALED	
20	GOOGLE LLC,	Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ	
27			
	Defendant.		
28			

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

2

3 4

6 7

5

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

23

26

27 28 I, Jonathan Tse, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC ("Google") in this action. I make this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt. 573.
- 3. On May 9, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Response to Google's Objections to Special Master Order. On May 9, 2022, I received an unredacted service copy of these documents.
- 4. I have reviewed the documents that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. Based on my review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

Document	Basis for Sealing
Plaintiffs' Response to Google's	The information requested to be sealed contains Google's
Submission Re: Privilege Re-	highly confidential and proprietary information regarding
Review (Dkt. 566)	highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and
	operations, including logs and their proprietary
Page 2:6-7	functionalities that Google maintains as confidential in the
	ordinary course of its business and is not generally known
	to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and
	proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies,
	system designs, and business practices for operating and
	maintaining many of its important services, and falls within
	the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this
	action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such
	confidential and proprietary information could affect
	Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter
	their systems and practices relating to competing products.
	It may also place Google at an increased risk of
	cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the
	information to compromise Google's internal practices
	relating to competing products.

5. Google's request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information. These redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly

1	tailored and limited to portions containing Google's highly-confidential or confidential information,		
2	Google requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public		
3	version of those documents.		
4	6. Google does not seek to redact or file under seal any of the remaining portions of		
5	Plaintiffs' Response to Google's Submission Re: Privilege Re-Review (Dkt. 566) not indicated in		
6	the table above.		
7	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true		
8	and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on May 16, 2022.		
9			
10	DATED: May 16, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
11	SULLIVAN, LLP		
12			
13	By /s/ Jonathan Tse Jonathan Tse		
14	Attorney for Defendant		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			